Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 17

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES - REGULATED HYDROELECTRIC

2

1

1.0 PURPOSE

This evidence provides an overview of the capital expenditures for OPG's regulated hydroelectric facilities for the historical years, bridge year, and the test period, with the exception of the Niagara Tunnel Project, which is addressed in Ex. D1-2-1. This exhibit also provides period-over-period explanations, and an overview of the hydroelectric project management process. Details for regulated hydroelectric capital projects are provided in Ex. D1-1-2.

10

11 **2.0 OVERVIEW**

Over the test period, OPG hydroelectric capital expenditures will primarily focus on sustaining assets in order to ensure the ongoing availability and reliability of OPG's hydroelectric portfolio.

1516

17

18

19

20

21

Capital expenditures can vary significantly from year to year based on the number and size of projects being executed. Excluding the Niagara Tunnel project, capital expenditures for the Niagara Plant Group and R.H. Saunders GS over the 2010 to 2015 period remain stable between \$30M to \$40M per year. For the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities, capital expenditures are consistent over the 2010 – 2013 period and remain in the \$60M to \$80M per year range, with an increase in 2014 due the start of construction of the Ranney Falls GS Expansion Project.

2223

- OPG's capital expenditures for the Niagara Plant Group and R.H. Saunders GS are \$34.5M and \$38.2M in 2014 and 2015, respectively. The majority of OPG's planned capital expenditures for the test period are for the Sir Adam Beck 1 GS G10 Upgrade; the DeCew Falls I GS Station Upgrade; and the R.H. Saunders Powerhouse Crane Replacement projects. These three projects account for \$42.5M of the total test period capital expenditures
- 29 of \$72.8M.
- 30 OPG's capital expenditures for the newly regulated hydro facilities are \$91.0M and \$100.0M
- 31 in 2014 and 2015, respectively. The largest projects for the newly regulated hydroelectric

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 17

- facilities in the test period include: the Ranney Falls GS Expansion, Lower Notch GS G1 and
- 2 G2 Generator Rewinds, Nipissing GS Penstock Replacement, the Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant
- 3 Group New Headquarters Building, and the Chenaux GS Protections Upgrade projects.
- 4 These projects account for \$93.8M of the total test period capital of \$190.9M for the newly
- 5 regulated hydroelectric facilities.

6

- A summary of the regulated hydroelectric capital expenditures for 2010 2015 is provided in
- 8 Ex. D1-1-1 Table 1.

9

- 10 The remainder of the schedule is structured as follows:
- 11 Section 3 Regulated Hydroelectric Capital Budget
- 12 Section 4 Period-over-period Changes Test Years
- 13 Section 5 Period-over-period Changes Bridge Year
- 14 Section 6 Period-over-period Changes Historical Years
- 15 Section 7 Project Management

16 17

3.0 REGULATED HYDROELECTRIC CAPITAL BUDGET

- 18 As described in Ex. F1-1-1, Appendix A, the Hydro-Thermal Operations Business Unit (HTO)
- 19 uses a structured portfolio approach to identify and prioritize projects. Projects are
- administered using the project management process as described in section 7.0 below. The
- 21 hydroelectric project portfolio is approved through OPG's business planning process. Most
- 22 hydroelectric capital projects involve the replacement of end of life equipment or the
- 23 refurbishment of existing structures. OPG's capitalization policy, at Ex. D4-1-1, is used to
- determine which projects are capital projects and which projects fall within project OM&A.
- 25 Project OM&A is discussed in Ex. F1-3-3. Prior to beginning work on a project, funds are
- released in accordance with OPG's Organizational Authority Register following the approval
- of a project business case.

28

- 29 Through the 2013-2015 business planning process, excluding the Niagara Tunnel project,
- 30 OPG's Board has approved \$263.7M of capital project expenditures for the 2014 2015 test
- 31 period to sustain or improve the Niagara Plant Group, R.H. Saunders GS, and the newly

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 17

- 1 regulated hydroelectric generating stations. Due to the multi-year nature of many of the
- 2 capital projects, not all of the capital expenditures planned for the test period will necessarily
- 3 come into service (and therefore into rate base) during the test period. Exhibit D1-1-2
- 4 presents in-service additions for the bridge year and test period, and explains changes from
- 5 OPG's EB-2010-0008 application.

6

- 7 Capital projects unrelated to the Niagara Tunnel project are summarized in Ex. D1-1-1 Table
- 8 1.

9

- 10 The following summarizes the capital budgets for the Niagara Plant Group and R.H.
- 11 Saunders GS, and newly regulated station segments. Descriptions and listings of the
- regulated hydroelectric capital projects are provided in Ex. D1-1-2.

1314

3.1 Niagara Plant Group and R.H. Saunders GS Capital Budget

- 15 For the Niagara Plant Group, non-tunnel expenditures are dominated by the Sir Adam Beck I
- 16 GS G10 Upgrade and DeCew Falls I GS Station Upgrade projects which account for \$35.1M
- 17 of the \$59.1M in the test period capital expenditures. The Sir Adam Beck GS 1 G10 Upgrade
- project is in definition phase and the current preliminary cost estimate is \$25.6M with an in-
- service date in 2015. The DeCew Falls 1 GS Station Upgrade project is also in the definition
- 20 phase and the current preliminary cost estimate is \$12.0M with an in service date in 2015.
- 21 The remainder of the Niagara Plant Group capital expenditures are smaller capital projects.

22

- For R.H. Saunders Generating Station, the planned expenditures are dominated by projects
- 24 for the replacement of the powerhouse crane and the station service equipment. Together,
- 25 these two projects account for \$11.4M of the \$13.6M in test period capital expenditures for
- this station. The remainder consists of expenditures on a number of smaller capital projects
- at the station.

28 3.2 Newly Regulated Facilities Capital Budget

- 29 For the Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group, a large portion of the planned expenditures are
- 30 projects for the Chenaux GS Protections Upgrade, New Plant Group Headquarters Building
- 31 and Otto Holden GS Sluicegates and Headgates Replacements. Together, these four

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 17

1 projects account for \$33.0M of the \$71.2M in the test period capital expenditures for the

2 Plant Group. The remainder consists of expenditures on a number of small projects and

3 completion of a few larger projects at Des Joachims GS in 2014.

4

5 For the Central Hydro Plant Group, a significant portion of the planned expenditures are

6 projects for the Ranney Falls GS Expansion, South Falls GS G2 Unit Turbine and Generator

7 Replacement, and Nipissing GS Penstock Replacement and Spillway projects. Together,

these four projects account for \$53.2M of the \$59.3M in the test period capital expenditures

for the Plant Group. The remainder consists of expenditures on a number of smaller capital

10 projects for the Plant Group.

11

8

9

12 For the Northeast Plant Group, the planned expenditures are dominated by projects for the

13 Lower Notch GS G1 and G2 Capital Upgrades, and G1 and G2 Headgate Upgrades.

Together, these four projects account for \$31.5M of the \$39.9M in the test period capital

15 expenditures for the Plant Group. The remainder consists of expenditures on a number of

smaller capital projects for the Plant Group.

17

20

21

22

18 For the Northwest Plant Group, a large portion of the planned expenditures are projects for

19 the Cameron Falls and Pine Portage GS Transformer Replacements, Whitedog Falls GS G1

and G3 Generator Rewinds, Kakabeka Falls GS Shebandowan Dam Replacement and the

Cameron Falls and Pine Portage GS Unit Breakers Replacements. Together, these projects

account for \$14.9M of the \$20.5M in the test period capital expenditures for the Plant Group.

23 The remainder consists of expenditures on a number of smaller capital projects for the Plant

Group.

25

24

2627

4.0 PERIOD-OVER-PERIOD CHANGES – TEST PERIOD

28 **2015 Plan vs. 2014 Plan**

- 29 Niagara Plant Group
- 30 In 2015, Niagara Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$9.5M to \$34.3M
- 31 due to the start of the DeCew Falls I Trashrack Replacement and Sir Adam Beck I G5 Major

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 17

- 1 Overhaul & Upgrade projects. In addition, planned expenditures increase for the Sir Adam
- 2 Beck I G10 Upgrade and Sir Adam Beck Pump Generating Station Protection and Controls
- 3 Upgrade projects in 2015.

4

- 5 R.H. Saunders Generating Station
- 6 In 2015, R.H. Saunders capital spending is expected to decrease by \$5.8M to \$3.9M due to
- 7 planned completion of the construction phase of the Powerhouse Crane Replacement in
- 8 2014.

9

- 10 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group
- In 2015, Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$6.8M
- 12 to \$39.0M due to planned expenditures on the Chenaux GS Protections Upgrade (site
- installation scheduled for 2015), and timing associated with the execution of approximately
- 14 40 other small capital projects.

15

- 16 Central Hydro Plant Group
- 17 In 2015, Central Hydro Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$7.1M to
- 18 \$33.2M due to the planned expenditures on projects at Nipissing GS for Penstock
- 19 Replacement and a Spillway Capacity Increase required to meet dam safety requirements.

20

- 21 Northeast Plant Group
- 22 In 2015, Northeast Plant Group capital spending is expected to slightly decrease by \$0.9M to
- \$19.5M. A significant portion of the planned expenditures in 2015 includes the continuation
- 24 of the Lower Notch GS Capital Upgrade projects that include generator rewinds and other
- associated upgrades including headgate and exciter replacements.
- 26 Northwest Plant Group
- 27 In 2015, Northwest Plant Group capital spending is expected to decrease by \$4.0M to \$8.3
- 28 due to planned completion of the Cameron Falls GS and Pine Portage GS Unit Breakers
- 29 Replacement projects in 2014.

30

31

2014 Plan vs. 2013 Budget

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 17

1 Niagara Plant Group

- 2 Niagara Plant Group capital spending is expected to decrease by \$3.9M to \$24.8M due to
- 3 the completion of on the DeCew Falls II GS Powerhouse Crane Rehabilitation and Governor
- 4 Sump & Pump Replacement projects, as well as the Sir Adam Beck I G3 Upgrade and Public
- 5 Health and Safety Fencing Improvements projects. These decreases will be offset by Sir
- 6 Adam Beck I G10 Upgrade and DeCew Falls I Station Upgrade which are both starting
- 7 execution in late 2013.

8

9

R.H. Saunders Generating Station

- 10 R.H. Saunders GS 2014 capital spending is expected to increase to \$9.7M from the 2013
- plan of \$5.0M. This is a result of several projects ramping up in 2014 including Station
- 12 Service Replacement, Fire Water System Replacement, and the Powerhouse Crane
- 13 Replacement.

14

15 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group

- In 2014, Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group capital spending is expected to slightly increase
- 17 by \$0.4M to \$32.2. The Des Joachims Turbine Runner Replacement and the Otto Holden
- 18 Headgate Replacement are continuing in 2014 with expenditures of \$2.4M and \$2.5M
- 19 respectively. The balance of the planned spending in 2014 is associated with the
- approximately 40 other small capital projects.

2122

23

24

25

Central Hydro Plant Group

- 26 In 2014, Central Hydro Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$17.1M to
- \$26.1M due to the start of construction on the Ranney Falls GS Expansion project, and
- 28 expenditures on the South Falls GS Unit G2 Turbine and Generator Replacement.

2930

Northeast Plant Group

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 7 of 17

- In 2014, Northeast Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$4.8M to \$20.4
- 2 due to planned expenditures on the Lower Notch GS G1 Capital Upgrade that includes a
- 3 generator rewind and other associated upgrades including headgate and exciter
- 4 replacements.

5

- 6 Northwest Plant Group
- 7 In 2014, Northwest Plant Group capital spending is expected to decrease by \$3.3M to \$12.2
- 8 due to decreased expenditures on the Aguasabon GS 13.8 kV Switchgear Replacement and
- 9 planned completion of the Alexander GS G1 Headgate Replacement in 2013.

1011

5.0 PERIOD-OVER-PERIOD CHANGES – BRIDGE YEAR

12 **2013 Budget vs. 2012 Actual**

- 13 Niagara Plant Group
- In 2013, Niagara Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$1.7M to \$28.8M
- 15 mainly due to spending related to the PGS Reservoir Refurbishment project and the start of
- 16 DeCew Falls II Powerhouse Crane Rehabilitation and Governor Sump & Pump Replacement
- 17 projects, which are partially offset by reduced spending on the Sir Adam Beck I Unit G3
- 18 rehabilitation.

19

- 20 R.H. Saunders Generating Station
- 21 R.H. Saunders GS 2013 capital spending is expected to increase to \$5.0M from the 2012
- 22 plan of \$2.7M. The increase is due the ramping up of work for the Powerhouse Crane
- Replacement project, and the start of work to replace the dewatering system in 2013.

24

- 25 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group
- 26 In 2013, Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group capital spending is expected to decrease by
- 27 \$9.2M to \$31.7M due to completion of the Mountain Chute GS G1 and G2 Generator
- 28 Rewinds, Arnprior GS Headgate Replacements, Barrett Chute GS Transformer
- 29 Replacements and Chenaux GS Headgate Replacements in 2012.

3031

Central Hydro Plant Group

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 8 of 17

- 1 In 2013, Central Hydro Plant Group capital spending is expected to decrease by \$0.3M to
- 2 \$8.5M due to substantial completion of the Crystal Falls GS 44 kV Conversion project and
- deferral of Nipissing GS Turbine and Generator Replacement project in 2012. The project
- 4 was deferred in 2012 to allow for further investigation into the project scope and cost. The
- 5 decreases are offset by planned expenditures on the Ranney Falls GS Expansion project.

6

7 Northeast Plant Group

- 8 In 2013, Northeast Plant Group capital spending is expected to decrease by \$6.0M to \$15.6
- 9 mainly due to the completion of the Matabichuan GS Penstock and Saddle Replacement
- 10 project in 2012.

11

12 Northwest Plant Group

- In 2013, Northwest Plant Group capital spending is expected to increase by \$6.8M to \$15.6
- due to commencement of the Pine Portage GS Transformer Replacement and G3 Generator
- 15 Rewind projects.

16

17

6.0 PERIOD-OVER-PERIOD CHANGES – HISTORICAL PERIOD

18 **2012 Actual vs. 2012 Budget**

- 19 Niagara Plant Group
- 20 Capital spending for the Niagara Plant Group in 2012 was \$27.1M, or \$3.8M below the OEB
- approved plan of \$30.9M. The variance in expenditures primarily due to the deferral of three
- 22 projects: the DeCew Falls I GS Electrical and Mechanical Station Upgrade, Sir Adam Beck
- 23 Pump Generating Station Breaker Replacements, and the Sir Adam Beck I G10 Upgrade.
- 24 DeCew Falls GS I Electrical and Mechanical Station Upgrade and Sir Adam Beck GS I G10
- 25 Upgrade were deferred to allow more planning time to confirm project scope, while the Sir
- 26 Adam Beck Pump Generating Station Breaker Replacements was deferred due to favorable
- 27 breaker condition. These reductions are partly offset by higher than planned spending on Sir
- 28 Adam Beck I G3 Upgrade. The timing for G3 rehabilitation work was delayed to allow for the
- completion of warranty work on Unit G7 at Sir Adam Beck I GS.

3031

R.H. Saunders Generating Station

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 9 of 17

- 1 In 2012, R.H. Saunders capital spending was \$3.2M less than the OEB approved plan of
- 2 \$5.9M. This is a result of several projects being reprogrammed including the reclassification
- 3 of the Service Water System project as an OM&A project, deferral of the Excitation System
- 4 replacement following a technical assessment, and deferral of the Fire Water System to
- 5 determine full scope. These decreases were partially offset by the Powerhouse Crane
- 6 Replacement project starting in 2012.

7

8 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group

- 9 Capital spending for the Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group in 2012 was \$41.0M, or \$0.7M
- 10 below the approved budget of \$41.7M. Work in 2012 included ongoing work for the Des
- Joachims Main Output Transformer Replacements (\$4.6M in 2012), and the remaining
- balance of 2012 spending was associated with the approximately 40 other capital projects in
- 13 execution.

14

15 <u>Central Hydro Plant Group</u>

- 16 Capital spending for the Central Hydro Plant Group in 2012 was \$8.8M, or \$6.0M under
- 17 budget. The variance is mainly due to the deferral of the Ranney Falls Expansion project due
- 18 to delays in obtaining project approvals, in addition to less than planned spending on the
- 19 Central Hydro Plant Group SCADA Upgrade project, and the deferral of the Nipissing GS
- 20 Turbine and Generator Replacement.

21

2223

Northeast Plant Group

- Capital spending for the Northeast Plant Group in 2012 was \$21.6M, or \$4.5M under budget.
- 25 This under variance was primarily attributed to the deferral of the Otter Rapids GS Runner
- 26 Upgrade Purchase and Abitibi Canyon GS Station Service Replacement projects due to
- 27 availability of staff resources that were required on other major projects.

28

29 Northwest Plant Group

- Capital spending in the Northwest Plant Group in 2012 was \$8.7M, or \$0.1M under Plan.
- 31 Several larger projects, such as the Whitedog Falls GS Transformer Replacement, the

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 10 of 17

- 1 Alexander GS Headgate Replacement, and the Caribou Falls GS Sluicegate Replacements
- 2 and the Pine Portage GS Runner Upgrades, were on budget.

3

4 **2012 Actual vs. 2011 Actual**

- 5 Niagara Plant Group
- 6 In 2012, Niagara Plant Group capital spending decreased by \$0.1M. This is due to the
- 7 completion of DeCew Falls I GS Penstock Replacement project and reduced spending on Sir
- 8 Adam Beck I GS G7 Frequency Conversion project.

9

10 R.H. Saunders Generating Station

- 11 R.H. Saunders GS 2012 capital spending decreased by \$5.4M from the 2011 Actual. This
- was due to the completion of the Protections and Controls project in 2011, partially offset by
- 13 the timing of the Powerhouse Crane Replacement.

14

15 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group

- Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group 2012 capital spending of \$41.0M was \$13.9M more than
- 17 2011 spending primarily due to increased project spending on the Des Joachims GS DC
- 18 Station Service Switchgear, Breakers Replacement, and G1, G3, G5 Generator Rewind
- 19 projects, and the Mountain Chute GS Generator Rewind, Stewartville GS Protections and
- 20 Controls and Otto Holden GS Station Service Upgrade projects.

21

22 <u>Central Hydro Plant Group</u>

- 23 Central Hydro Plant Group 2012 capital spending of \$8.8M was \$1.3M less than 2011
- 24 spending due deferrals of Nipissing GS Turbine and Generator Replacement, Bingham
- 25 Chute GS Log Lifter, and McVittie GS Sluicegate Repair/Replacement projects, and
- 26 cancellation of Big Eddy GS Installation of Log Handling Equipment at the Spillway Dam in
- 27 2012. This was offset by higher than planned spending on the Ragged Rapids GS and
- 28 Ranney Falls GS Headgate Replacements, and Gravenhurst Public Safety Upgrades in
- 29 2011.

3031

Northeast Plant Group

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 11 of 17

- 1 Northeast Plant Group 2012 capital spending of \$21.6M was \$11.5M more than 2011 mainly
- 2 due to the construction phase for Matabichuan GS Penstock and Saddle Replacement
- 3 project in 2012.

4

- 5 Northwest Plant Group
- 6 Northeast Plant Group 2012 capital spending of \$8.7M was \$5.3M less than 2011 spending
- 7 due to the completion of the Cameron Falls GS and Whitedog Falls GS Headgate
- 8 Replacements, and Kakabeka Falls GS G4 Turbine Inlet Valve Replacement projects in
- 9 2011.

1011

12

2011 Actual vs. 2011 Budget

- Niagara Plant Group
- Capital spending for the Niagara Plant Group in 2011 was \$27.2M, or \$3.5M below the OEB
- 14 approved plan of \$30.7M. The decrease in expenditures is primarily related to changes in the
- scope of the Sir Adam Beck I Unit G3 rehabilitation. The original scope was revised as a
- result of a detailed engineering assessment conducted on the unit which indicated that the
- major generator components were suitable for an additional 20 to 30 years of service with
- the planned rehabilitation work. The previous estimate for the Unit G3 project was based on
- 19 a generator replacement. Overall project savings of \$7M will be realized through this scope
- 20 change. The timing for G3 rehabilitation work was delayed to allow for the completion of
- 21 warranty work and the installation of the Johnson valve sleeve on Unit G7 at Sir Adam Beck I
- GS. Overall spending in 2011 on Unit G3 was \$10.8M below forecast.

23

- 24 The reduced spending on Sir Adam Beck I Unit G3 was offset by increased spending on the
- 25 DeCew Falls I GS Penstock Replacement (\$1.7M), Sir Adam Beck I Unit G7 warranty work
- 26 (\$3.7M), Sir Adam Beck Pump Generating Station Reservoir Refurbishment definition phase
- work (\$2.2M) and a number of smaller projects.

2829

R.H. Saunders Generating Station

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 12 of 17

- 1 Capital spending at R.H. Saunders in 2011 was \$8.1M which was \$1.1M less than the 2011
- 2 OEB approved plan. This difference was due to several small schedule changes to a number
- 3 of projects including the Protection and Controls Upgrade project.

45

Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group

- 6 Capital spending for the Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group in 2011 was \$27.1M, or \$9.9M
- 7 under budget due to less than planned spending on the Mountain Chute GS Generator
- 8 Rewinds, deferral of the New Plant Group Headquarters Building and small schedule and
- 9 cash flow changes on a significant number of other projects. The Mountain Chute GS
- 10 Generator Rewinds outage schedule was updated after the budget was finalized resulting in
- 11 less than planned spending. The New Plant Group Headquarters Building project was
- initiated to address the extensive deterioration of the existing building (approx. 45 years old).
- 13 This project was deferred to allow for a more thorough assessment of options for an
- 14 headquarters building.

1516

Central Hydro Plant Group

- 17 Capital spending for the Central Hydro Plant Group in 2011 was \$10.1M, or \$0.4M under
- 18 budget due to less than planned spending on Crystal Falls GS Conversion to 44 kV
- 19 operations, and the deferral of North Bay Headquarters Renovations. The North Bay
- 20 Headquarters Renovations (\$1.8M budget) was deferred to 2012 to allow for further study of
- 21 office space requirements and cost effective building construction options. This was offset
- 22 higher than planned spending on the Ragged Rapids GS and Ranney Falls GS Headgate
- 23 Replacements.

2425

Northeast Plant Group

- Capital spending for the Northeast Plant Group in 2011 was \$10.1M, or \$4.9M under budget
- 27 due to less than planned spending for the Matabichuan GS Penstock and Saddles
- 28 Replacement project due to delays to obtain required permitting and to perform detailed
- 29 exploratory work, and less than planned spending for the Otter Rapids GS Sluice Hoist
- 30 Installation and Runner Purchase projects due to issues identified with manufacturer's
- 31 design.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 13 of 17

1 2

Northwest Plant Group

- 3 Capital spending for the Northwest Plan Group in 2011 was \$14.1M, or \$0.1M under budget.
- 4 The largest projects, Alexander GS and Whitedog Falls GS Headgate Replacements and the
- 5 Caribou Falls GS Sluicegate Replacements were all on budget.

6 7

2011 Actual vs. 2010 Actual

- 8 Niagara Plant Group
- 9 In 2011, Niagara Plant Group capital spending decreased by \$1.3M mainly due a reduction
- in spending on the unit rehabilitation program at Sir Adam Beck I.

11

12 R.H. Saunders Generating Station

- 13 R.H. Saunders' 2011 capital spending was \$3.7M lower than 2010 mainly due to the
- completion of the St. Lawrence Power Development Visitor Centre project in 2010.

15

16 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group

- 17 Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group 2011 capital spending of \$27.1M was \$21.3M less than
- 18 2010 due to the completion of the Otto Holden GS Transformers Replacements, the
- 19 Chenaux GS Limerick Island Dam Sluicegate Rehabilitation, and Chats Falls GS T20
- 20 Transformers Replacement projects in 2010.

21

22 <u>Central Hydro Plant Group</u>

- Central Hydro Plant Group 2011 capital spending of \$10.1M was \$5.3M more than 2010
- 24 spending due to the start of the Ragged Rapids GS and Ranney Falls GS Headgates
- 25 Replacement projects, as well as, higher than planned spending for the Northbury and
- 26 Gravenhurst Public Safety Upgrades, the Central Hydro SCADA upgrades, and the
- 27 Wanapitei Stop Log Replacement projects in 2011. The public safety upgrades consisted of
- 28 the installation of additional fencing, barriers, safety booms, gates, and signage for
- 29 generating stations and control structures maintained by the Northbury and Gravenhurst
- 30 Service Centres. The Wanapitei Control Structure provides water management for the
- 31 Stinson, Coniston, and McVittie Generating Stations.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 14 of 17

1

2 Northeast Plant Group

- 3 Northeast Plant Group 2011 capital spending of \$10.1M was \$3.8M more than 2010
- 4 spending due to the start of the Matabichuan GS Penstocks and Saddle Replacement project
- 5 in 2011.

6

7 Northwest Plant Group

- 8 Northwest Plant Group 2011 capital spending of \$14.1M was \$5.1M more than 2010
- 9 spending due to start of the Cameron Falls GS G7 Headgate Replacement and Silver Falls
- 10 GS T1 Transformer Replacement projects in 2011.

1112

2010 Actual vs. 2010 Budget

- 13 Niagara Plant Group
- 14 The Niagara Plant Group's capital spending in 2010 was \$7.7M under plan. The capital
- 15 variance was mainly due to reduced spending on the installation of penstocks at DeCew
- Falls I (\$1.5M), reduced spending on generator rehabilitation work at Sir Adam Beck I for
- 17 Units G3 (\$1.7M) and G9 (\$3.5M), and the deferral of protection and control work at Sir
- 18 Adam Beck Pump Generating Station (\$1.0M).

19

2021

R.H. Saunders Generating Station

- 22 R.H. Saunders' capital spending in 2010 was \$5.5M under plan (\$11.8M versus a plan of
- \$17.3M). This variance was due to: the deferral of the Powerhouse Crane Replacement to
- 24 2012 in order to better determine project scope, the deferral of the Projections and Control
- 25 project to allow for outage scheduling, and for lower than planned contingencies on the St.
- 26 Lawrence Power Development Visitor project.

27

28 Ottawa-St.Lawrence Plant Group

- 29 Capital spending for the Ottawa St. Lawrence Plant Group in 2010 was \$48.4M, or \$2.9M
- under budget primarily due to less planned spending on the Mountain Chute GS Generator
- 31 Rewind project. The project scheduled for 2010 was delayed due to a forced outage of Unit 2

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 15 of 17

- 1 in 2009. In addition, there was a deferral the Arnprior GS Headgate Replacement project
- 2 execution phase to 2011 to accommodate the procurement schedule.

3

- Central Hydro Plant Group
- 5 Capital spending for the Central Hydro Plant Group in 2010 was \$4.8M, or \$2.7M under
- 6 budget due to the deferral of the North Bay Headquarters Renovations, Nipissing GS
- 7 Penstock Replacement, and Ragged Rapids GS G1 Runner Replacement and Rewind
- 8 projects, as well as, less than planned spending on the Central Hydro PG SCADA Upgrades.

9

10 Northeast Plant Group

- 11 Capital spending for the Northeast Plant Group in 2010 was \$6.4M, or \$4.8M under budget.
- 12 As described above, the Matabichuan GS Penstock and Saddles Replacement project was
- project was delayed in order to perform additional exploratory work and detailed design.
- 14 Also, there was less than planned spending for the Indian Chute GS G1 Turbine
- 15 Replacement project.

16

17 Northwest Plant Group

- 18 Capital spending for the Northwest Plant Group in 2010 was \$9.0M, or \$1.1M under budget
- 19 due to less than planned spending on the Kakabeka Falls GS G4 Turbine Inlet Valve
- 20 Replacement project. As the project progressed in definition phase, it became apparent that
- 21 there were multiple logistical issues that needed to be addressed, which resulted in
- 22 postponing the project.

2324

7.0 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

- 25 OPG's project management process for regulated hydroelectric facilities is substantially
- 26 unchanged from EB-2010-0008 with the exception of the addition of a centralized Project
- 27 Management Office ("PMO"). Capital expenditures for the regulated hydroelectric facilities
- are planned through the use of a structured portfolio approach, whereby OPG identifies and
- 29 prioritizes projects. Projects are then administered using a comprehensive project
- 30 management process. This project management process has been developed by the Hydro

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 16 of 17

- 1 Thermal Business Unit within the framework of, and consistent with, OPG's corporate level
- 2 investment management processes, which are outlined in Ex. A2-2-1.

3

- 4 The PMO ensures adherence to industry best practice standards for project management.
- 5 Through planning, training, project management competency, and contractor pre-
- 6 qualification services, PMO ensures that contractors meet the requirements to perform work
- 7 on OPG sites. On major strategic projects, a comprehensive Post Implementation Review
- 8 ("PIR") is conducted by the PMO to ensure that the business and project objectives have met
- 9 the intended purpose as stated in the Business Case Summary (BCS).
- 10 At any point in time, the portfolio of hydroelectric projects may include projects at all stages
- of the project life cycle, from newly identified opportunities to projects that are in execution or
- 12 close-out phases and for which funds have been fully released.
- 13 The five phases within the project life cycle are as follows:
 - Identification problems or opportunities are identified that are likely to lead to a project;
 - Initiation initial project scope, schedule, and stakeholders are identified, and the project is included in business plans;
 - Definition investigation to determine project scope, verify site conditions, perform preliminary engineering, and produce a release quality estimate and a detailed schedule;
 - Execution management of construction and physical execution of the project;
 - Final closing preparation of project closure report and Post-Implementation Review to document final costs and lessons learned.

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The progression of a project from one phase to the next is governed by a management process, which ensures that periodic and systematic reviews are conducted, and that approvals are obtained before OPG proceeds with further investments. Between each phase, a distinct "decision gate" is reached, where a decision is taken on whether the project should proceed to the next phase, revert back to a previous phase, or cease entirely. Each step in the project life cycle may require a significant amount of time and resources (as in the case of a major rehabilitation or new station construction), or represent steps that are passed

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit D1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 17 of 17

- 1 through relatively quickly (as in the case of the replacement of a minor plant component due
- 2 to breakdown).

3

- 4 Release of funds for hydroelectric projects typically occurs at two stages: definition and
- 5 execution. For the definition phase, the release of funds is based on a developmental BCS
- and is limited to 10 per cent of the total project estimate. A full BCS releases all of the funds
- 7 for the execution phase of the project based on a release quality estimate and a detailed
- 8 schedule.